Case 1:23-cv-00812-ADA-SAB Document	nt 10 Filed 10/25/23 Page 1 of 2
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
JASON SCOTT HOUNIHAN,	No. 1:23-cv-00812-ADA-SAB (PC)
Plaintiff,	
v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
WELL PATH HEALTH CARE	ACTION
	(ECF No. 9)
Detendants.	
7 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant	
to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28	
19 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
On May 30, 2023, the Magistrate Judge screened Plaintiff's complaint, found no	
cognizable claims, and granted Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 5.)	
Plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint or otherwise respond to the Magistrate Judge's order.	
Therefore, on July 11, 2023, the Magistrate Judge issued an order to show cause why the action	
should not be dismissed. (ECF No. 7.) Plaintiff failed to respond to the order to show cause.	
Accordingly, on August 4, 2023, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations,	
recommending that the Court dismiss this action due to Plaintiff's failure to comply with a court	
order, failure to prosecute, and failure to state a cognizable claim for relief. (ECF No. 11.) The	
findings and recommendations contained notice that Plaintiff had fourteen days within which to	
	1
	JASON SCOTT HOUNIHAN, Plaintiff, v. WELL PATH HEALTH CARE PROVIDER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forto 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On May 30, 2023, the Magistrate Judg cognizable claims, and granted Plaintiff thirty Plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint of Therefore, on July 11, 2023, the Magistrate Justice Should not be dismissed. (ECF No. 7.) Plaint Accordingly, on August 4, 2023, the Magistrate recommending that the Court dismiss this action order, failure to prosecute, and failure to state

file objections. (*Id.* at 8.) Plaintiff has not filed objections, and the deadline to do so has passed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. After carefully reviewing the entire file, the Court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on August 4, 2023, (ECF No. 9), are adopted in full; 2. This action is dismissed for failure to comply with a court order, failure to prosecute, and failure to state a cognizable claim; and 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October 25, 2023 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 1:23-cv-00812-ADA-SAB Document 10 Filed 10/25/23 Page 2 of 2